# IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR THE STATE OF TENNESSEE 30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, MEMPHIS

THE TENNESSEE BLACK VOTER PROJECT, THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE MEMPHIS BRANCH, HOPE OSEDO, BENJAMIN A. GASTEL,	) ) ) ) ) Case No: CH-18-1476
Petitioners,	) Chancellor JoeDae Jenkins
v.	) )
SHELBY COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION, LINDA PHILLIPS, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF ELECTIONS OF SHELBY COUNTY; ROBERT MEYERS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE CHAIR OF THE SHELBY COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION,	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
Defendants.	<i>)</i> )

#### ORDER GRANTING DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

This matter came before the Court on the Petitioners' Motion for Expedited Show Cause Hearing and Temporary Injunction. The Court has considered the Amended Verified Petition for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and for Access to Public Records, the Motion, the memorandums submitted by the Parties, as well as the testimony and argument at the October 25, 2018 hearing. For the reasons stated on the record, the Court finds that Petitioners have carried their burden of proof as to the request for extraordinary relief. Petitioners have demonstrated that 1) they are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims; 2) voter registration

applicants who filed a timely, but deficient, voter registration application will suffer irreparable harm absent extraordinary relief from this Court; 3) the balance of the harm to the aforementioned voter registration applicants in the loss of the right a) to receive statutory notice, b) to cure deficiencies and c) to ultimately exercise the right to vote, far outweighs the harm to the Shelby County Election Commission ("SCEC") in responding to the relief ordered by this Court; and 4) the public interest is best served by protecting the constitutionally guaranteed and fundamental right to vote over allowing the use of a regulatory process to deny that right. The public deserves a regulatory process which permits this right to remain inviolate and which allows as many eligible voters as possible to freely and timely participate in the election process. The Court further finds that the petition seeks to enforce a significant constitutional right and concerns a matter of a public interest.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the attached transcript of the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law (Exhibit A) are incorporated into, and made a part of, this order as if recited verbatim herein. Pursuant to Tennessee Rule of Civil Procedure 65.04 and Tennessee Code Annotated § 10-7-505, the Court hereby:

1. **DECLARES** that Tennessee Code Annotated § 2-2-109(a) requires that Defendants adopt and implement procedures to ensure that eligible voters who submitted timely but incomplete or deficient voter registration forms can cure any deficiency and, that, immediately upon the cure, the Administrator shall register the applicant to vote allowing for a vote on the electronic voting machines both during early voting and on Election Day;

- 2. ORDERS Defendants to adopt and implement procedures to ensure that eligible voters who submitted timely but incomplete or deficient voter registration forms can cure any deficiency and that, immediately upon the cure, the Administrator shall register the applicant to vote allowing for a vote on the electronic voting machines during early voting or on Election Day, as required by Tennessee Code Annotated § 2-2-109(a), in substantially the following form:
  - a. A voter who is not on the list of registered voters at the voting check-in table shall be connected via telephone with the Shelby County Election Commission's central help desk.
  - b. The help desk shall consult both the voter registration database and the alphabetized file of incomplete paper registration forms and attempt to locate the voter's voter registration form. If the voter is at the incorrect polling place, the voter shall be directed to the correct polling place.
  - c. If the voter is eligible to vote and previously submitted a timely, but deficient, voter registration form, the help desk worker shall correct any deficiencies in the voter registration record and the voter shall complete a new voter registration form at the polling place, at which time the voter shall be registered to vote and the voter shall be entitled to vote on the voting machines.
  - d. If the help desk worker is unable to locate any timely-submitted voter registration form for the voter, the voter will be given the opportunity to vote a provisional ballot. In such case, the SCEC will conduct a further review of available voter registration records during the provisional ballot counting process.

- e. If technological issues prevent the voter from communicating with the help desk, or if the voter has already been issued a mail ballot or already cast an in-person ballot during the election, the voter will be given the opportunity to vote a provisional ballot. In such case, the SCEC will conduct a further review of available voter registration records during the provisional ballot counting process.
- f. As an alternative option to the help desk procedure, a voter who is not on the list of registered voters at the voting check-in table may voluntarily opt to complete a new voter registration form at the polling place and thereafter vote a provisional ballot. In such case, the voter shall be considered to have corrected his or her voter registration form, and the SCEC will conduct a further review of available registration records during the provisional ballot counting process to determine if the voter had previously submitted a timely voter registration application and is otherwise eligible to vote.
- g. The SCEC shall staff the help desk with sufficient resources to minimize waiting time at the polling place.
- 3. **DECLARES** that the Defendants' failure to send notifications to all individuals who submitted a voter registration application, informing them of their voter registration status—including informing those individuals whose forms were deemed incomplete of their right to correct any incomplete voter registration forms before or on Election Day—violates Tennessee Code Annotated § 2-2-115(b)(3) and the right to procedural due process under Article I, Section 8 of the Tennessee Constitution;

4. **ORDERS** Defendants to send notice letters to all individuals who submitted a timely voter registration form and who have not yet been sent a notice letter, notifying them of their registration status and that those whose registration forms are incomplete may correct their incomplete forms at any early voting location or their assigned polling place on Election Day, as required by Tennessee Code Annotated § 2-2-115(b)(3);

5. ORDERS Defendants to provide Petitioners with a list or compilation of the names of all individuals whose timely-submitted voter registration forms were determined to be deficient and the reasons therefor no later than October 26, 2018, and, to the extent that Defendants continue to process voter registration forms and determine that such newly-processed forms are deficient, to supplement such list on a daily basis in a manner most convenient to the Administrator, for example, by simply emailing a supplemental list to Petitioners' counsel or by providing redacted copies of deficiency notice letters generated each day to Petitioners' counsel;

6. ORDERS the parties to work together in good faith to accomplish the statutory duties of the SCEC and the Administrator to register voters and administer the November 6, 2018 election pursuant to this Order with as little interference as possible in the daily responsibilities of the SCEC and the Administrator; and

7. **ORDERS** that because this Order is in the public interest, the requirement of the filing of a bond is set at \$250.00.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.

Chancellor JoeDae Jenkins

Nunc Pro Tunc: 10/25/2018 @, 3:46 p.m.

# IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR THE STATE OF TENNESSEE 30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, MEMPHIS

THE TENNESSEE BLACK VOTER PROJECT, THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE MEMPHIS BRANCH, HOPE OSEDO, BENJAMIN A. GASTEL,	) ) ) ) Case No: CH-18-1476
Petitioners,	) Chancellor JoeDae Jenkins
v.	<b>)</b>
SHELBY COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION, LINDA PHILLIPS, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF ELECTIONS OF SHELBY COUNTY; ROBERT MEYERS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE CHAIR OF THE SHELBY COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION,  Defendants.	

### **FIAT**

### TO THE CLERK OF THIS COURT:

Issue the Injunction in the attached Order, as prayed for in Petitioners' Petition, upon

bond being given in the amount of \$250.00.

DATE: October 27, 2018

Chancellor JoeDae Jenkins

Nunc Pro Tunc: 10/25/2018 @ 3:46 p.m.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 26th day of October, 2018, the foregoing was delivered via email and U.S. Mail to the following:

J. Gerard Stranch, IV
Benjamin A. Gastel
Anthony A. Orlandi
BRANSTETTER, STRANCH & JENNINGS,
PLLC
223 Rosa L. Parks Ave. Suite 200
Nashville, TN 37203
gerardg@bsjfirm.com
beng@bsjfirm.com
aorlandi@bsjfirm.com

Alexander (Alex) C. Wharton
THE WHARTON LAW FIRM
1575 Madison Ave.
Memphis, TN 38104
alexanderwharton@thewhartonlawfirm.com

Marc Erik Elias
Alexander G. Tischenko
PERKINS COIE LLP
700 Thirteenth Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-3960
MElias@perkinscoie.com
ATischenko@perkinscoie.com

Sambo Dul
PERKINS COIE LLP
2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone: 602.351.8000
Facsimile: 602.648.7000
SDul@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

John Ryder
Pablo A. Varela
Harris Shelton
40 South Main Street
Suite 2210
Memphis, TN 38103
jryder@harrisshelton.com
pvarela@harrisshelton.com

Megan Smith
Shelby County Attorney's Office
Vasco A. Smith, Jr. County Administration Building
160 N Main Street
Memphis, TN 38103
Megan.Smith@shelbycountytn.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

Deputy Clerk/Court Clerk

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TENNESSEE FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS
2	FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS
3	THE TENNESSEE BLACK VOTER PROJECT,) THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE )
4	ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE ) MEMPHIS BRANCH, HOPE OSEDO, )
5	BENJAMIN A. GASTEL,
6	Plaintiffs, )
7	VS. ) NO. CH-18-1476
8	SHELBY COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION,) LINDA PHILLIPS, IN HER OFFICIAL )
9	CAPACITY AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF ) ELECTIONS OF SHELBY COUNTY; ROBERT)
10	MEYERS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY ) AS THE CHAIR OF THE SHELBY COUNTY )
11	ELECTION COMMISSION, )
12	Defendants. )
13	RULING OF THE COURT
14	OCTOBER 25, 2018 HONORABLE JOEDAE JENKINS
15	HOWOKADEL SOLDAE SERVERS
16	BE IT REMEMBERED, that the above-captioned
17	cause came on to be heard, on this, the 25th of
18	October, 2018, beginning at approximately 11:00
19	a.m., before the HONORABLE JOEDAE JENKNS, Chancellor
20	presiding, when and where the following proceedings
21	were had, to-wit:
22	ALPHA REPORTING CORPORATION 236 Adams Avenue
23	Memphis, TN 38103 901-523-8974

2

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	ALEXANDER C. WHARTON, ESQ. The Wharton Law Firm
5	The Wharton Building 1575 Madison Avenue
_	Memphis, Tennessee 38104
6	(901) 725-6884
7	SAMBO DUL, ESQ. sdul@perkinscoie.com
8	Perkins Coie 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788
10	(602) 351-8000
11	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
12	PABLO A. VARELA, ESQ.
13	pvarela@harrisshelton.com Harris, Shelton, Hanover and Walsh
14	One Commerce Square, Suite 2210 Memphis, Tennessee 38103
15	(901) 525-1455
	JOHN L. RYDER, ESQ.
16	jryder@harrisshelton.com Harris, Shelton, Hanover and Walsh
17	One Commerce Square, Suite 2210 Memphis, Tennessee 38103
18	(901) 525-1455
19	COURT REPORTING FIRM:
20	ALPHA REPORTING CORPORATION
21	Sheri Allen 236 Adams Avenue
) 7	Memphis, Tennessee 38103

	www.alphareporting.com	_
23		
24		
•		,
<b>^</b>		3
1	RULING OF THE COURT	
2	****	
3	THE COURT: All right. The Court has	
4	considered the arguments of counsel, the testimony	
5	of Ms. Phillips, memorandums submitted by the	
6	parties, the amended complaint, which is a complaint	
7	for declaratory and injunctive relief to obtain	
8	public records.	
9	The Court is mindful of the time is of the	
10	essence in this particular case, and that the	
11	election is right upon us. That being November the	
12	6th, 2018.	
13	It appears to the Court that the Tennessee	
14	Black Voters Project and others, including Hope	
15	Osedo, O-S-E-D-O, have charged the defendants that	
16	they provided them with voter registration	
17	applications for processing. The sheer volume of	
18	the applicants was nearly 40,000 applicants and	

19 overwhelmed the executive staff of the Shelby County

20 Election Commission.

- 21 The administrator, in response, hired
- 22 temporary trained staff to address that problem.
- 23 Still, the task remains uncompleted. The
- 24 administrator testified that the task of processing
- **↑** 4
- 1 the application will be complete on or about
- 2 November the 1st, 2018. In the meanwhile, 4 to
- 3 6,000 applicants are not processed, and they have
- 4 not been notified as of the status of their
- 5 application and any reason, if any, for the
- 6 nonissuance of a voter registration card.
- 7 The plaintiffs assert that the registrants
- 8 are in jeopardy of being disenfranchised as they
- 9 have no opportunity to cure any deficiency which may
- 10 exist prior to November the 1st, 2018, at which time
- 11 the defendant would allow them to vote only by way
- 12 of provisional ballot as opposed to the use of a
- 13 traditional ballot.
- 14 Plaintiff further argues that this
- 15 procedure violates the due process rights of the
- 16 qualified registrants who timely filed a
- 17 registration application, but that had deficiencies
- 18 and that they have no opportunity to cure the
- 19 deficiency and to vote a traditional ballot.

20	The	defendant	argues	that	it	does	not
	, , , , _	ac i chadiic	ui Kuca	LIIGL	L	$u \cup c \supset$	110 6

- 21 matter, because the votes for the traditionally and
- 22 provisionally are the same and will be counted the
- 23 same. However, the defendant overlooks the rights
- 24 guaranteed to the registrants by Tennessee Code

**↑** 5

- 1 Annotated 2-2-109 that the right -- that the
- 2 registrants have the right to be notified of a
- 3 deficiency and the opportunity to cure the
- 4 deficiency and the affirmative duty of the
- 5 administrator to register the person to vote if they
- 6 are otherwise qualified.
- 7 In that same statute, 2-2-109, the
- 8 provision limiting the administrator to updating of
- 9 the registration until no later than five days
- 10 before the election appears to relate to existing
- 11 registrations who have submitted a change of
- 12 address. This provision may apply to those
- 13 registrants who were previously on the voter
- 14 registration listing and have now filed an
- 15 application using a new address. It is not a
- 16 limiting provision otherwise.
- 17 Defendant also argues further, that the

- 18 procedure to be utilized in this instance is
- 19 Tennessee Code 2-7-112. And further submits to the
- 20 Court that this is the procedure suggested by the
- 21 coordinator of elections. This statute applies,
- 22 though, if a person claims to be properly registered
- 23 and eligible to vote at a precinct, but whose
- 24 eligibility cannot be determined on the computer

**↑** 

- 1 list or by registration cards at the County Election
- 2 Commission, or an official asserts the person is
- 3 ineligible to vote. Tennessee Code 2-7-112.
- 4 The Court is of the opinion that this is
- 5 not the procedure envisioned by the legislature for
- 6 otherwise qualified registration applicants who
- 7 filed timely but whose application was deficient.
- 8 Tennessee Code 2-2-109 allows the applicant to file
- 9 a corrective application or action on or before the
- 10 regularly scheduled November general election. In
- 11 this case, November 6th, 2018. The statute
- 12 requires, then, the administrator to register the
- 13 applicant.
- 14 Failure to provide the applicant with
- 15 notice that there is a deficiency denies them of due
- 16 process rights under the law. Moreover, if the

- 17 applicant is never notified and the registration is
- 18 not corrected by the November general election, by
- 19 statute the application is then considered void. So
- 20 that on 11/6/18, if the correction is not made
- 21 according to the statute, the application is void.
- Now considering the relief requested. The
- 23 plaintiff has established that certain applicants
- 24 are in jeopardy of being disenfranchised as provided

7

- 1 by the law. The provisional process is an
- 2 alternative process which voters may elect not to
- 3 pursue due to its very nature causing what may be a
- 4 chilling effect on the voting process. The
- 5 plaintiff has established irreparable harm. The
- 6 plaintiff has established that there's a likelihood
- 7 of success on the merit if the applicants have minor
- 8 deficiencies that can be easily corrected. The harm
- 9 to the applicants in this case far outweighs the
- 10 harm to the Shelby County Election Commission in
- 11 requiring it to afford the plaintiffs the relief
- 12 that this Court will order.
- 13 Finally, the public interest is best
- 14 served and supported by a process which allows for

- 15 as many voters as possible to freely and timely
- 16 participate in the election process. Both parties
- 17 suggested that Tennessee is on the bottom rung of
- 18 voter participation. The Court's ruling will
- 19 facilitate, hopefully, an increase in voter
- 20 participation. Voter registration is a key
- 21 component to a viable election process.
- The plaintiff has requested the defendant
- 23 employ the help desk process with respect to the 4
- 24 to 6,000 unidentified applicants. The Court will

h 8

- 1 grant this request and require the attorneys to
- 2 provide the process in sufficient detail to become a
- 3 part of this order. Additionally, beginning October
- 4 26th, 2018, the defendant shall on a daily basis
- 5 provide the plaintiffs with a list of 4 to 6,000
- 6 applicants processed.
- 7 The defendants shall further -- further,
- 8 the defendants shall notify each processed applicant
- 9 immediately of the status and the reason for the
- 10 nonissuance of a voter registration card.
- 11 The Court's ruling does not require it to
- 12 invalidate Tennessee Code 2-7-112. The Court does
- 13 not see that that provision applies to the instant

- 14 facts, nor does the Taylor case apply to this
- 15 particular set of facts.
- I hope that is as clear as I can possibly
- 17 make it. Do you have any questions?
- 18 MR. RYDER: No. That's right. Thank you,
- 19 Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: I'm going to need you all to
- 21 work together to come up with some language that
- 22 does not tie the hands of the administrator. She
- 23 has her hands full with this election, so you all
- 24 need to help her as much as possible with a process,

• 9

- 1 or with the process that she has and not encumber
- 2 what she's attempted to do. Her primary job is to
- 3 make sure that this election goes off with as few
- 4 hitches as possible, and I believe that she's going
- 5 to do just that if we assist her in every way that
- 6 we can possibly assist her.
- 7 MR. RYDER: Your Honor, in terms of
- 8 presenting the order to the Court, I know you've had
- 9 some scheduling issues. How can we do that so that
- 10 we can get the order to the Court as soon as
- 11 possible?

- 12 THE COURT: When will you have it?
- 13 MR. RYDER: Tomorrow morning.
- 14 THE COURT: Then I will make arrangements
- 15 for a signature.
- 16 MR. RYDER: I mean, we can submit -- we're
- 17 happy to submit it any way --
- 18 THE COURT: You'll probably need to submit
- 19 it to me electronically, and then I will go over it.
- 20 MR. RYDER: Okay.
- 21 THE COURT: I'm particularly interested in
- 22 how you're going to word the language on the
- 23 administrator providing with the daily updates. And
- 24 the reason I'm requiring that is so that plaintiffs
- 10
- 1 can go ahead and notify these people so that they
- 2 can come in, and then make whatever corrections
- 3 there are to be made. But I don't want it to be
- 4 language that's going to interrupt the
- 5 administrator's office. So we have to be careful
- 6 about how we draft that, that particular process.
- 7 Am I becoming muddier now?
- 8 MR. RYDER: No, I think we can -- we can
- 9 craft appropriate language.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you so much.

11	MR. WHARTON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
12	(THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 3:46 p.m.)	
<b>1</b> 3		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<b>^</b>		11
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE )	
3	COUNTY OF SHELBY )	
4	I, SHERI ALLEN, LCR #492, Licensed Court	
5	Reporter, in and for the State of Tennessee, do hereby certify that the above proceeding was	
6	reported by me, and the transcript is a TRUE and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills,	
7	and ability.	
8	I further certify that I am not related to nor an employee of counsel or any of the parties to	

	102518TNBlackvotervsSCElection54572lnRULING
9	the action, nor am I in any way financially
	interested in the outcome of this case.
10	
	I further certify that I am duly licensed by
11	the Tennessee Board of Court Reporting as a Licensed
	Court Reporter as evidenced by the LCR number and
12	expiration date following my name below.
13	I further certify that this transcript is
	the work product of this court reporting agency and
14	any unauthorized reproduction and/or transfer of it
	will be in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated
15	39-14-104, Theft of Services.
16	
17	
	Sheri Allen, LCR #492
18	Expiration Date 6-30-2020
	ALPHA REPORTING CORPORATION
19	236 Adams Avenue
	Memphis, Tennessee 38103
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	