

NO. _____

In the Supreme Court of Texas

IN RE JULIE MCCARTY,
Relator,

**On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the Tarrant County Clerk/Election
Administrator**

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

JARED WOODFILL
Woodfill Law Firm, P.C.
State Bar No. 00788715
3 Riverway, Ste. 750
Houston, Texas 77056
(713) 751-3080 (Telephone)
(713) 751-3058 (Facsimile)
woodfillservice@gmail.com

Counsel for Relators

Identity of Parties and Counsel

1. Relators



Julie McCarty is a registered voter in Tarrant County and is President of NE Tarrant Tea Party.

Lawyer for Relator:

Jared R. Woodfill
State Bar No. 00788715
3 Riverway, Ste. 750
Houston, Texas 77056
Tel: (713) 751-3080
Fax: (713) 751-3058
woodfillservice@gmail.com

2. Respondent

Heider Garcia
Tarrant County Elections Administrator
Tarrant County Elections Center
2700 Premier Street
Fort Worth, TX 76111

Lawyer for Respondent Heider Garcia:

Sharen Wilson
Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney's Office
Tim Curry Criminal Justice Center
401 West Belknap
Fort Worth, TX 76196

Table of Contents

Identity of Parties and Counsel	ii
Table of Contents	iii
Index of Authorities	
Record References	
Statement of the Case.....	1
Statement of Jurisdiction.....	2
Issues Presented	5
1. Whether Respondent is violating Texas Election Code §85.001(a) by moving the early voting date from Tuesday, October 19, 2020 to Tuesday, October 13, 2020	5
2. Whether Respondent is violating Texas Election Code §86.0001(a-1) by allowing “a voter to deliver a marked ballot [by mail] in person to the early voting clerk’s office beginning Monday, September 28, 2020 at 8:00 a.m. and continuing through 7:00 pm on November 3, 2020 ...	5
Statement of Facts	6
I. On July 27, 2020, Governor Abbott Unilaterally Suspended the Texas Election Code	7
II. Article I, §28 of the Texas Constitution Prohibits Abbott and Respondent from Suspending Laws.....	8
a. Abbott Responds to Harris County Clerk’s Request by Amending Election Code.....	8
b. Respondent Garcia is Implementing Abbott’s Unlawful Order	9
Arguments and Authorities	9

I.	Garcia’s violations of the Texas Election Code are Promoting Opportunities for Ballot Harvesting.....	9
a.	Ballot Harvesting is Occurring in Large Counties	10
b.	Ballot Harvesting Could Impact the Presidential Election	12
c.	Ballot Harvesting Schemes Being Exposed Across Texas.....	13
II.	Respondent Garcia is Violating the Texas Election Code	14
a.	Texas Election Code Sets Early Voting Dates	15
b.	The Texas Election Code Establishes When A Voter Can Deliver A Marked Ballot By Mail In Person To The Early Voting Clerk’s Office.....	15
c.	The plain language of Texas Election Code §85.001(a) prohibits Respondent from moving the beginning of early voting up to October 13, 2020. The plain language of the Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) prohibits Respondent from extending the time a voter can deliver a marked ballot to the early voting clerk’s office	16
III.	Respondent Garcia’s Conduct and the July 27, 2020 Order Suspending the Texas Election Code are Unconstitutional	18
a.	Respondent Garcia’s Conduct Violates Article I, Section 28 of the Texas Constitution	18
b.	Garcia’s Conduct Violates Texas Government code, Chapter 418.....	21
c.	Texas Government Code, Chapter 418, Violates the Texas Constitution	21
d.	Abbott’s July 27, 2020 Order Violates the Separation of Powers Doctrine.....	22
	Prayer	24
	Certificate of Service	26
	TRAP 52.3(J) Certification.....	27

Certificate of Compliance28

Appendix

Index of Authorities

CASES	PAGE(S)
<i>Arroyo v. State</i> , 69 S. W. 503 (Tex. Crim. App 1902)	19, 20
<i>Brown Cracker & Candy Co. v. City of Dallas</i> , 104 Tex. 290 (1911)	19
<i>Dickson v. Strickland</i> , 265 S.W. 1012 (Tex. 1924)	3
<i>Ex parte Granviel</i> , 561 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978)	23, 24
<i>Fin. Comm'n of Texas v. Norwood</i> , 418 S.W.3d 556 (tex. 2013)	22
<i>Gov't Servs. Ins. Underwriters v. Jones</i> , 368 S.W.2d 560 (Tex. 1963)	23
<i>Grant v. Ammerman</i> , 437 S.W.2d 547 (Tex. 1969).....	3
<i>In re Abbott</i> , No 20-0291, 2020 WL 1943226 (Tex. Apr. 23, 2020)	18, 19
<i>In re Carlisle</i> , 209 S.W.3d 93 (Tex.2006)	4
<i>In re Hotze</i> , 2020 Tex. Lexis 680 (July 17, 2020).....	6
<i>In re M.N.</i> , 262 S.W.3d 799 (Tex. 2008)	16
<i>In re Tex. Senate</i> , 36 S.W.3d 119 (Tex. 2000)	4
<i>In re Woodfill</i> , 470 S.W.3d 473 (Tex. 2015)	4
<i>Jones v. State</i> , 803 S.W.2d 712 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991)	23
<i>Leland v. Brandal</i> , 257 S.W.3d 204 (Tex.2008)	16
<i>Perry v. Del Rio</i> , 67 S.W.3d 85 (tex. 2001).....	22
<i>Sears v. Bayoud</i> , 786 S.W.2d 248 (Tex. 1990).....	4
<i>State v. Rhine</i> , 297 S.W. 3d 301 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009)	23, 24
<i>Tex. Boll Weevil Eradication Found., Inc.</i> , 952 S.W.2d 454 (Tex. 1997)	23

Constitutional Provisions, Statutes, and Rules:

Tex. Const., art. I, §28.....20, 21

Tex. Const., art. II, §120, 21, 22

Tex. Elec. Code §41.001(a)(3).....14

Tex. Elec. Code §63.010116

Tex. Elec. Code §85.00115, 17

Tex. Elec. Code § 85.001(a)1, 2, 7, 14, 16

Tex. Elec. Code §85.001(d)15

Tex. Elec. Code § 86.006(a-1) 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16, 17

Tex. Elec. Code § 273.0611, 2, 4

Tex. Gov’t Code § 311.011.....16

Tex. Gov’t Code §418.....19, 20, 21, 24

Tex. Gov’t Code §418 et seq21

Tex. R. App. P. 52.3.....2

Other Authorities:

Antonin Scalia & Bryan A. Garner, Reading Law: The Interpretation of Legal
Texas 140 (2012)16

George D. Braden, The Constitution of the State of Texas: An Annotated and
Comparative Analysis 84 (1977)19

Record References

“App.” refers to the appendix to this petition.

Statement of the Case

Nature of the underlying proceeding: Pursuant to section 273.061 of the Texas Election Code [App. A], this is a petition for a writ of mandamus compelling Tarrant County Elections Administrator Garcia to perform his statutory duties to administer early voting in person consistent with Texas Election Code §85.001(a) which requires “early voting by personal appearance [to] begin[] on the 17th day before election day and continue[] through the fourth day before election day....” [App. B]. This petition for writ of mandamus also seeks to compel Respondent Garcia to perform his statutory duties under Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) and allow a voter to deliver a marked ballot in person to the early voting clerk's office only while the polls are open on election day.

Respondent: Tarrant County Election Administrator Heider Garcia

Respondent’s challenged actions: Respondent intends to move early voting up by a week, October 13, 2020, despite the Texas Election Code mandate that “early voting by personal appearance begins on the 17th day before election day and continues through the fourth day before election day....”, October 19, 2020. Tex. Elect. Code § 85.001(a). Additionally, for the November 3rd, 2020 general election, Respondent is violating Texas Election Code §86.006 (a-1) by allowing “a voter to deliver a marked ballot [by mail] in person to the early voting clerk’s office prior to

and including election day,” beginning Monday, September 28, 2020 at 8:00 a.m. [App. C].

Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) states, “The voter may deliver a marked ballot in person to the early voting clerk's office only while the polls are open on election day.” Thus, Respondent’s actions are unlawful in that he is administering the November 3, 2020 election in a manner contrary to the Texas Election Code. Because statewide voting is fast approaching, mandamus relief is necessary.

Statement of Jurisdiction

Texas Election Code §273.061 gives the Court original jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus “to compel the performance of any duty imposed by law in connection with the holding of an election.” Relator has a compelling reason to request mandamus from this Court in the first instance. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 52.3. The November 3rd, 2020 general election is quickly approaching and Respondent intends to move early voting up by a week, October 13, 2020, despite the Texas Election Code mandate that “early voting by personal appearance begins on the 17th day before election day and continues through the fourth day before election day....”, October 19, 2020. Tex. Elec. Code § 85.001(a). Additionally, for the November 3rd, 2020 general election, Respondent intends to violate Texas Election Code §86.006 (a-1) by allowing “a voter to deliver a marked ballot [by mail] in person to the early voting clerk’s office prior to and including election day.” [App.

C]. Garcia began receiving delivery of marked ballots in person on Monday, September 28, 2020 at 8:00 a.m. [App. C]. Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) states, “The voter may deliver a marked ballot in person to the early voting clerk's office only while the polls are open on election day.”

This Court has stated "that an election in this state is not a single event, but a *process*, and that the entire *process* is subject to contest." *Dickson v. Strickland*, 265 S.W. 1012, 1018 (Tex. 1924). Although this case does not involve an election contest, it does involve the enforcement by mandamus of duties involved with the "holding of an election," an election being the *entire process* including the date early voting begins and when a voter can deliver a marked ballot by mail in person to the early voting clerk's office. *See Grant v. Ammerman*, 437 S.W.2d 547, 548-49 (Tex. 1969)(duty to canvas results of election subject to mandamus because "canvassing of votes is a part of the election procedure and is necessary to the determination of the result."). Mandamus will lie to enforce ministerial duties arising in connection with an election. *Id.*

In this Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Relator is challenging the *process* of the election, i.e., the Respondent's authority to implement and enforce changes to the Texas Election Code that have not been made by the Texas Legislature.

Relator asks the Court to use the power granted by the Election Code “to compel the performance of any duty imposed by law in connection with the holding

of an election.” Tex. Elec. Code § 273.061. As chief election officer for Tarrant County, Respondent Garcia is implementing and enforcing changes to the Texas Election Code Relator complains about in her Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

When time is of the essence, this Court has not hesitated to exercise its mandamus authority. *See, e.g., In re Woodfill*, 470 S.W.3d 473, 481 (Tex. 2015) (per curiam); *In re Carlisle*, 209 S.W.3d 93, 95-96 (Tex. 2006) (per curiam); *In re Tex. Senate*, 36 S.W.3d 119, 121 (Tex. 2000); *Sears v. Bayoud*, 786 S.W.2d 248, 250 & n.1 (Tex. 1990). The Court should exercise its original mandamus authority again in that Garcia’ illegal actions will begin on Monday, September 28, 2020.

Issues Presented

1. Whether Respondent is violating Texas Election Code § 85.001(a) by moving the early voting date from Tuesday, October 19, 2020 to Tuesday, October 13, 2020.

2. Whether Respondent is violating Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) by allowing “a voter to deliver a marked ballot [by mail] in person to the early voting clerk’s office prior to and including election day” beginning Monday, September 28, 2020 at 8:00 a.m. and continuing through 7:00 p.m. on November 3, 2020?

Statement of Facts

“The Texas Constitution is not a document of convenient consultation. It is a steadfast, uninterrupted charter of governmental structure. Once this structure erodes, so does the promise of liberty.” *In re Hotze*, 2020 Tex. LEXIS 680) (Devine, J., concurring).

Given the extraordinary circumstances Texans have faced over the past seven (7) months, it is shocking that Governor Abbott has continued to unilaterally suspend laws while refusing to convene the Texas Legislature. When Governor Abbott first ordered the closure of restaurants, schools, and businesses he deemed “nonessential,” Abbott said every option, including a special session, remained on the table. Patrick Svitek, *Ector County GOP Censures Abbott Over Executive Power Amid Coronavirus*, *State Sen. Charles Perry Calls for Special Session*, Texas Tribune, July 4, 2020. The special session has not occurred, and Governor Abbott has reversed course, stating that a special session is not necessary. Taylor Goldenstein, “He’s decided he’s the king’: *Gov. Greg Abbott’s COVID Response Leaves Lawmakers on Sidelines*,” Houston Chronicle, September 8, 2020. Senator Charles Perry said it best: “It should not be the sole responsibility of one person to manage all the issues related to a disaster that has no end in sight.” Brandon Waltens, *Special Session? Abbott’s Mask Mandate Draws Scathing Criticism From*

Lawmakers, Texas Score Card, July 6, 2020. Senator Perry’s position is consistent with the wisdom found in the Texas Constitution.

I. On July 27, 2020, Governor Abbott Unilaterally Suspended the Texas Election Code

On July 27, 2020, Governor Abbott issued an order suspending the Texas Election Code. [App. D]. Section 85.001(a) of the Texas Election Code provides that the period for early voting by personal appearance begins 17 days before election day. Section 86.006(a-1) of the Texas Election Code states that a voter may deliver a marked mail ballot in person to the early voting clerk’s office while the polls are open on election day. In his July 27, 2020 Proclamation/Order, Abbott and Respondent concluded, “[I]t has become apparent that for the November 3, 2020 elections, strict compliance with the statutory requirements in Sections 85.001(a) and 86.006(a-1) of the Texas Election Code would prevent, hinder, or delay necessary action in coping with the COVID-19 disaster, and that providing additional time for early voting will provide Texans greater safety while voting in person....” [App. D].

In his July 27, 2020 Proclamation, Abbott ordered, “NOW, THEREFORE, I, GREG ABBOTT, Governor of Texas, under the authority vested in me by the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas, do hereby suspend Section 85.001(a) of the Texas Election Code to the extent necessary to require that, for any election ordered or authorized to occur on November 3, 2020, early voting by personal

appearance shall begin on Tuesday, October 13, 2020, and shall continue through the fourth day before election day. I further suspend Section 86.006(a-1) of the Texas Election Code, for any election ordered or authorized to occur on November 3, 2020, to the extent necessary to allow a voter to deliver a marked mail ballot in person to the early voting clerk's office prior to and including on election day." [App. D]

II. Article I §28 of the Texas Constitution Prohibits Abbott and Respondent from Suspending Laws

The Texas Bill of Rights, article I of the Texas Constitution, unequivocally addresses Governor Abbott's and Respondent Garcia's attempt to suspend the Texas Election Code. Specifically, article I, §28 of the Texas Constitution states, "No power of suspending laws in this State shall be exercised except by the Legislature."

a. Abbott Responds to Harris County Clerk's Request by Amending Code

On July 22, 2020, Harris County Clerk Chris Hollins asked Governor Abbott to extend the early voting period for the November 2020 general election. [App. C]. Specifically, Clerk Hollins asked Abbott to "please increase Early Voting by at least one week, to begin not later than Tuesday, October 13, 2020." [App. C]. Soon thereafter, July 27, 2020, Governor Abbott unilaterally amended the Texas Election Code to extend early voting by six (6) days, October 13, 2020, and allowing a voter to deliver a marked mail ballot in person to the early voting clerk's office prior to

and including on election day. [App. D]. Respondent Garcia is suspending the Texas Election Code in the above-described manner.

b. Respondent Garcia is Implementing Abbott's Unlawful Order

Respondent Garcia began receiving marked ballots in person on Monday, September 28, 2020 at 8:00 am and will do so until Tuesday, November 3, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. [App. C]. Garcia's conduct violates the clear language of the Texas Election Code § 86.001(a-1).

Argument and Authorities

I. Garcia's violations of the Texas Election Code Promotes Ballot Harvesting

Ballot harvesting is the gathering and submitting of completed absentee or mail in voter ballots by third-party individuals, volunteers or workers, rather than submission by the voters themselves directly to ballot collection sites. Gomez, Luis, "What is Ballot Harvesting and How Was it Used in California Elections," sandiegouniontribune.com (December 4, 2018). Voting by mail and the applications related thereto, lack the protections that voting in person provides. Among other things, the application to vote by mail can be completed and returned by others, sometimes with false information. Ballots, when they arrive in the mail, can be intercepted by political operatives or delivered in person to annexes where there are no safeguards to guarantee that the person turning in the application is the voter. And, in some cases, large scale fraud can take place where voter intimidation,

deception, or a paid ballot harvester can substitute his or her vote for the voter's and turn in the ballot.

In 2017 the Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill 5. The law, in effect for the 2018 election cycle, tightened mail-in ballot rules and increased criminal penalties for ballot fraud. But just because a law was passed in Texas does not mean the practice of illegal ballot harvesting has ended or has even been curtailed. Hollins' illegal early application to vote by mail plan provides an opportunity for ballot harvesting to thrive.

a. Ballot Harvesting is Occurring in Large Counties

Licensed Private Investigators, former Houston Police Officers, and an investigator formerly trained and employed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as an Investigative Specialist conducting undercover work on Espionage and Terrorist targets, have been investigation ballot harvesting in Harris County for many months. [App. E and App. F]. Working with Relators, these investigators have uncovered an illegal ballot harvesting operation in Harris County. [App. F and App. F]. The investigation has identified witnesses and documents corroborating an illegal ballot harvesting operation in Harris County, Texas. [App. E and App. F]. The organization and operation of the illegal harvesting program is being used to commit fraud in the November 3, 2020 election. The programs employed by Harris County Clerk Hollins are facilitating the ballot harvesting operation.

According to the investigators, witnesses have identified Harris County Commissioner Rodney Ellis, Precinct (1), and Texas State Senator, District 13, Borris Miles as leading the organization tasked with harvesting ballots. [App. E and App. F]. The investigators further state that witnesses have identified Houston businessman Gerald Womack and political consultant Dallas Jones as lieutenants working directly under Commissioner Ellis and Senator Miles. [App. E and App. F]. Participants in the Harris County ballot harvesting election fraud have investigated how the ballot harvesters take absentee ballots from the elderly in nursing homes, from the homeless, and from unsuspecting residences' mailboxes and cast the respective votes. [App. E and App. F]. According to the investigators, ballot harvesters then sign and then fill out the ballots according to harvesters' preferred candidate. [App. E and App. F]. Two witnesses have stated to investigators that there are two individuals employed at Harris County Clerk Hollins' office who receive the illegal ballots and help facilitate the voting and then mask the processing of the ballots into the legal stream of ballots. [App. E and App. F]. Participants in the ballot harvesting operation have informed investigators that Commissioner Ellis has moved forward with the mass mail out plan to expand the illegal ballot harvesting scam. [App. E and App. F]. One witness told investigators that an employee of Commissioner Rodney Ellis, Tyler James, was caught bragging that he could guarantee that the illegal ballot harvesting operation, with the help of mass

mail-in ballots, could harvest 700,000 thousand illegal ballots. [App. E and App. F]. According to investigators, law enforcement agencies are currently investigating the allegations of ballot harvesting in Harris County. [App. E and App. F].

b. Ballot Harvesting Could Impact the Presidential Election

In the November 3, 2020 presidential election, as Texas goes so too will the rest of the country. If President Trump loses Texas, it would be difficult, if not impossible, for him to be reelected. Accordingly, investigators have linked the ballot harvesting scheme to the Biden/Harris campaign. “Based on interviews, review of documents, and other information, [investigator Captain Mark Aguirre has] identified the individuals in charge of the ballot harvesting scheme. These individuals include political consultant Dallas Jones who was recently hired by the Joe Biden for President campaign to oversee their Harris County initiative, District 13 Texas State Senator Borris Miles, who is the handler of Mr. Jones, political consultant Gerald Womack, and Precinct 1 Harris County Commissioner Rodney Ellis. One of the companies these individuals are using as a front for this operation is AB Canvassing, although there are others that have been identified that we are investigating.” [App. E and App. F].

If this Court fails to timely act, there may be implications for the national election. Accordingly, Relator request immediate relief in her Emergency Motion for Temporary Relief filed concurrently with this motion.

c. Ballot Harvesting Schemes Being Exposed Across Texas

Last week, Attorney General Ken Paxton announced that authorities arrested Gregg County Commissioner Shannon Brown, Marlena Jackson, Charlie Burns, and DeWayne Ward on charges in connection with an organized vote harvesting scheme during the 2018 Democratic primary election. [App. H]. To increase the pool of ballots needed to swing the race in Brown’s favor, the group targeted young, able-bodied voters to cast ballots by mail by fraudulently claiming the voters were “disabled,” in most cases without the voters’ knowledge or consent. [App. G]. Under Texas election law, mail ballots based on disability are specifically reserved for those who are physically ill and cannot vote in-person as a result.

In total, the state filed 134 felony charges against the four defendants, including engaging in organized election fraud, illegal voting, fraudulent use of an application for a mail-in ballot, unlawful possession of a mail-in ballot, tampering with a governmental record, and election fraud. [App. G]. Penalties for these offenses range from six months in state jail to 99 years in prison.

Attorney General Paxton’s indictments demonstrate that elections can be stolen outright by mail ballot fraud. Election fraud, particularly an organized mail ballot fraud scheme orchestrated by political operatives, compromise the integrity of the voting process and disenfranchises voters who lawfully cast their ballot.

As the Attorney General has stated, “Mail ballots are vulnerable to diversion, coercion, and influence by organized vote harvesting schemes.” [App. G]. Attorney General Paxton has further stated that he is committed to ensure Texas has the most secure elections in the country. [App. G]. To achieve this goal, it is incumbent upon this Court to order Respondent Garcia to follow the Texas Election Code. Those who try to manipulate the outcome of elections in Texas must be held accountable. Unfortunately, Respondent and Governor Abbott have created an environment that is contrary to this goal.

In order to stop Garcia and those who are assisting in violating the Texas Election Code, it is necessary to grant Relator’s requested mandamus relief.

II. Respondent Garcia is Violating the Texas Election Code

Respondent Garcia is responsible for administering elections in Tarrant County. On July 27, 2020, Governor Abbott issued a Proclamation suspending Texas Election Code sections 85.001(a) and 86.006(a-1) for the November 3rd, 2020 general election.

a. Texas Election Code Sets Early Voting Dates

Texas Election Code Sec. 41.001(a)(3) provides uniform election dates:

UNIFORM ELECTION DATES.

(a) Except as otherwise provided by this subchapter, each general or special election in this state shall be held on one of the following dates:

(3) the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November.

The general election is set for November 3, 2020.

The Texas Election Code §85.001 identifies dates for early voting:

Sec. 85.001. EARLY VOTING PERIOD.

(a) The period for early voting by personal appearance begins on the 17th day before election day and continues through the fourth day before election day, except as otherwise provided by this section.

The Texas Election Code contains a provision specifically addressing the situation where it is not possible for early voting to begin on the prescribed date, stating, “If because of the date for which an election is ordered it is not possible to begin early voting by personal appearance on the prescribed date, the early voting period shall begin on the earliest date practicable after the prescribed date as set by the authority ordering the election.” Texas Elect. Code § 85.001(d). Unlike Governor Abbott’s July 27, 2020 Order, the Texas Election Code does not move the date back, instead it sets the new date to begin early voting after the prescribed date. Here, Governor Abbott is unilaterally taking the opposite approach, extending the early voting period by almost a week.

b. The Texas Election Code Establishes When A Voter Can Deliver A Marked Ballot By Mail In Person To The Early Voting Clerk’s Office.

The Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) states: “The voter may deliver a marked ballot in person to the early voting clerk's office only while the polls are

open on election day. A voter who delivers a marked ballot in person must present an acceptable form of identification described by Section 63.0101.” The provision limits the in person delivery of a marked ballot to the early voting clerk’s office to election day. *Id.* Texas Election Code § 86.006(a-1) does not allow Respondent Garcia to amend the Texas Election Code to extend the time a voter can deliver a marked ballot to the early voting clerk’s office.

- c. **The plain language of Texas Election Code §85.001(a) prohibits Respondent from moving the beginning of early voting up to October 13, 2020. The plain language of Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) prohibits Respondent from extending the time a voter can deliver a marked ballot to the early voting clerk’s office.**

Texas statutes are to be interpreted based on their plain language. *See Leland v. Brandal*, 257 S.W.3d 204, 206 (Tex. 2008). The Court presumes the Legislature included each word for a purpose and that words not included were purposefully omitted. *In re M.N.*, 262 S.W.3d 799, 802 (Tex. 2008). It also presumes the Legislature understood and followed the rules of English grammar. Tex. Gov’t Code § 311.011; *See also* Antonin Scalia & Bryan A. Garner, *Reading Law: The Interpretation of Legal Texts* 140 (2012) (describing the presumption as “unshakeable”).

The plain language of Texas Election Code §85.001(a) makes it clear that early voting can begin no earlier than the 17th day before election day. *Id.* Texas

Election Code § 85.001 does not allow Respondent Garcia to move early voting beyond the 17th day before the election.

If the Legislature had wanted to give the Respondent the discretion to move the start of early voting, they could have done so. Instead, the Legislature limited the start of early voting by personal appearance to the 17th day before election day.

The plain language of Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) limits the in person delivery of a marked ballot to the early voting clerk's office to election day. *Id.* Texas Election Code § 86.006(a-1) does not allow Respondent Collins to amend the Texas Election Code to extend the time a voter can deliver a marked ballot to the early voting clerk's office.

If the Legislature had wanted to give the Respondent the discretion to allow a voter to deliver a marked ballot by mail in person to the early voting clerk's office prior to election day, they could have done so. Instead, the Legislature limited the in person delivery of a marked ballot to the early voting clerk's office to election day.

d. The plain language of Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) does not allow Garcia to have multiple locations to deliver a marked ballot.

The plain and clear language of Texas Election Code §86.006(a-1) only allows the voter to “deliver a marked ballot in person to the early voting clerk's office.” The term office is singular and does not include annexes or satellite

offices. If the Legislature had intended to expand the definition of “clerk’s office” they could have done so. Instead, they chose to limit the drop-off venue to one identifiable location, the “voting clerk’s office.” By allowing eleven (11) different drop-off locations, Hollins’ violates the Texas Election Code and opens up additional opportunities for voter fraud.

III. Respondent Garcia’s Conduct and the July 27, 2020 Order Suspending the Texas Election Code are Unconstitutional

a. Respondent Garcia’s Conduct Violates Article I, Section 28 of the Texas Constitution

“The Constitution is not suspended when the government declares a state of disaster.” *In re Abbott*, No. 20-0291, 2020 WL 1943226, at *1 (Tex. Apr. 23, 2020).

“All government power in this country, no matter how well-intentioned, derives only from the state and federal constitutions.” *In re Salon A La Mode et al.*, No. 20-0340 (concurring opinion, J Blacklock) (Tex. May 5, 2020). During a pandemic “the judiciary, the other branches of government, and our fellow citizens—must insist that every action our governments take complies with the Constitution, especially now. If we tolerate unconstitutional government orders during an emergency, whether out of expediency or fear, we abandon the Constitution at the moment we need it most.” *Id.* “When properly called upon, the judicial branch must not shrink from its duty to require the government’s anti-virus orders to comply with the Constitution and the law, no matter the circumstances.” *Id.*

Government power cannot be exercised in conflict with the constitution, even in a pandemic. *In re Abbott*, 2020 WL 1943226 at *1 (Tex. Apr. 23, 2020). Texas law does not and cannot empower a Governor to unilaterally suspend the laws of the State of Texas. Article I, § 28 of the Texas Constitution states, “No power of suspending laws in this State shall be exercised except by the Legislature.” The Texas Supreme Court has long held that the Legislature cannot delegate “to anyone else the authority to suspend a statute law of the state.” *Brown Cracker & Candy Co. v. City of Dallas*, 104 Tex. 290, 294-95 (1911); *Arroyo v. State*, 69 S.W. 503, 504 (Tex. Crim. App. 1902) (“Under the constitution, the legislature ha[s] no right to delegate its authority . . . to set aside, vacate, suspend, or repeal the general laws of this state.”).

“[P]rior to 1874 this section was as follows: ‘No power of suspending laws in this state shall be exercised, except by the legislature, *or its authority*’” (emphasis added). *Arroyo*, 69 S.W. at 504. This constitutional provision was then specifically amended to remove the provision allowing the Legislature to delegate its suspension power by “its authority.” *Id.* This was expressly done to remedy “the history of the oppressions which grew out of the suspension of laws by reason of such delegation of legislative authority and the declaration of martial law.” *Id.*

Article I, § 28 was created in part in response to then-Governor F.J. Davis “declar[ing] . . . counties under martial law” and depriving of liberty “offenders by court martial in Houston,” George D. Braden, *The Constitution of the State of Texas: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis* 84 (1977). Texas Government Code §418

is therefore unconstitutional on its face because it purports to delegate legislative power to suspend laws to the Governor in contravention of Texas Constitution, Art. I, §28 and Art. II, §1.

As Abbott's July 27, 2020 Order suspends several provisions of the Texas Election Code, and on its face admits that Abbott and Respondent are suspending laws in accordance with Texas Gov't Code Chapter 418, the Order itself is an unconstitutional suspension of the laws and, therefore, violate Article I, §28 of the Texas Constitution and are "null and void." See *Arroyo*, 69 S.W. at 504. Additionally, to the extent the Texas Disaster Act allows for the suspension of laws by the Governor, it is unconstitutional and void.

The Texas Constitution limits Abbott's and Respondent Garcia's authority even in times of crisis or "extraordinary occasions." See Tex. Const. art IV, §8 (stating on "extraordinary occasions" Governor may convene the Texas Legislature). If not limited, and if Constitutional rights may be suspended or infringed, unilaterally and for unlimited duration, whenever a Governor "declares" an emergency, then such rights are wholly illusory. Governor Abbott's July 27, 2020 Order and Respondent Garcia's enforcement of same, violates the Texas Constitution and therefore should be declared void and unenforceable.

b. Garcia's Conduct Violates Texas Government Code, Chapter 418

Assuming, arguendo, the Texas Disaster Act is constitutional, Garcia's conduct and the July 27, 2020 Order violate the Texas Government Code § 418 et seq., the Texas Disaster Act. Specifically, the Disaster Act limits Governor Abbott's power to those provisions expressly described in the statute. The Disaster Act does not contain any language expressly allowing Governor Abbott to amend the Texas Election Code in the manner he has chosen to do so.

c. Texas Government Code, Chapter 418, Violates the Texas Constitution

Texas Government Code Chapter 418 is unconstitutional on its face and as applied. Texas Government Code Chapter 418 is unconstitutional on its face because it is an improper delegation of legislative authority expressly prohibited by Texas Constitution, Art. II, §1. Abbott's July 27, 2020 Order is facially unconstitutional because Abbott issued the Order pursuant to Chapter 418 (an unconstitutional statute) and because they purport to exercise the power to suspend laws which authority is reserved exclusively to the legislature. Tex. Const. art. I, §28. As such, Texas Government Code Chapter 418, and all orders issued pursuant thereto, should be declared unconstitutional and rendered null and void.

d. **Abbott's July 27, 2020 Order Violates the Separation of Powers Doctrine**

The July 27, 2020 Order Respondent Garcia is tasked with implementing/enforcing, violates the separation of powers provision of the Texas Constitution because it suspends laws. Article II, §1 of the Texas Constitution provides that “The powers of the Government of the State of Texas shall be divided into three distinct departments, each of which shall be confided to a separate body of magistracy, to wit: Those which are Legislative to one; those which are Executive to another, and those which are Judicial to another; and no person, or collection of persons, being of one of these departments, shall exercise any power properly attached to either of the others, except in the instances herein expressly permitted.” Tex. Const. art. II, §1. The Texas Constitution vests the Legislature with “legislative power, *i.e.*, the law-making power of the people.” Tex. Const. art. 3, § 1.

Only the Legislature can exercise law-making power, subject to restrictions imposed by the constitution. Tex. Const. art. II, § 1. Because of the Texas Constitution’s “explicit prohibition against one government branch exercising a power attached to another,” *Perry v. Del Rio*, 67 S.W.3d 85, 91 (Tex. 2001), exceptions to the constitutionally-mandated separation of powers may “never be implied in the least; they must be ‘expressly permitted’ by the Constitution itself.” *Fin. Comm'n of Texas v. Norwood*, 418 S.W.3d 566, 570 (Tex. 2013). These

restrictions must be expressed or clearly implied. §, 803 S.W.2d 712, 716 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991) (citing *Gov't Servs. Ins. Underwriters v. Jones*, 368 S.W.2d 560, 563 (Tex. 1963)). The Legislature may enact laws that enhance the general welfare of the state and resolve political questions, such as the boundaries of political subdivisions, subject to constitutional limits. *Carter v. Hamlin Hosp. Dist.*, 538 S.W.2d 671, 673 (Tex. Civ. App.-Eastland 1976); see *Hunter v. City of Pittsburgh*, 207 U.S. 161, 178-79 (1907).

The Legislature may delegate some of its powers to another branch, but only if those powers are not more properly attached to the legislature by Constitutional mandate. For example, Legislative power cannot be delegated to the executive branch, either directly or to an executive agency. *State v. Rhine*, 297 S.W.3d 301, 306 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009). The issue becomes a question of the point at which delegation becomes unconstitutional. *Id.* The Texas Supreme Court has described the problem: "the debate over unconstitutional delegation becomes a debate not over a point of principle but over a question of degree." *Tex. Boll Weevil Eradication Found., Inc.*, 952 S.W.2d 454, 466 (Tex. 1997).

The Texas Court of Criminal Appeals in *Ex parte Granviel*, 561 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978), stated that sufficient standards are necessary to keep the degree of delegated discretion below the level of legislating. The existence of an area for exercise of discretion by the executive branch requires that standards are

formulated for guidance and there is limited discretion. *Ex parte Granviel*, 561 S.W.2d at 514. The statute must be sufficiently complete to accomplish the regulation of the particular matters falling within the legislature's jurisdiction, the matters of detail that are reasonably necessary for the ultimate application, operation and enforcement of the law may be expressly delegated to the authority charged with the administration of the statute. *Ex parte Granviel*, 561 S.W.2d at 514. Therefore, if the Legislature has not provided sufficient standards to guide the executive's discretion and the delegated power is legislative, that executive has been granted a power that is more properly attached to the legislature and the delegation is an unconstitutional violation of separation of powers. *State v. Rhine*, 297 S.W.3d 306 (Tex. Crim. App. 2019).

Texas Government Code Chapter 418 not only does not provide robust, specific standards related to delegation of legislative authority, it provides *NO* standards to guide Abbott's discretion when identifying penalties, including fines and incarceration.

Prayer

For the reasons detailed above, Relator respectfully requests this Court issue a writ of mandamus ordering Respondent Garcia to perform his duties in accordance with the law, and not deviate from the express provisions in the Texas Election Code. Relator requests this Court order Respondent not to receive in-person deliver of

marked ballots until election day, November 3, 2020 and only at the early voting clerk's office in Tarrant County. Relator request that any in person marked ballots that have been received be secured until an order is issued by the Court regarding these ballots. Relator further requests this Court issue a writ of mandamus to require the first date of early voting by personal appearance to begin on the 17th day before election day.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jared R. Woodfill

JARED R. WOODFILL
State Bar No. 00788715
Woodfill Law Firm, P.C.
3 Riverway, Suite 750
Houston, Texas 77056
P:(713) 751-3080
Fax: (713) 751-3058
woodfillservice@gmail.com
Counsel for Relator

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

By affixing my signature above, I , Jared Woodfill, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above Original Petition for Writ of Mandamus has been delivered via electronic mail to the parties below on the 28nd day of September, 2020.

/s/Jared Woodfill

Jared Woodfill