**REACTIONS TO SUPREME COURT VOTING RIGHTS ACT DECISION**

[***SHELBY COUNTY v. HOLDER***](http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/shelby-county-v-holder/)**[House, Senate, Ed Boards]**

**[June 28, 2013 UPDATE byGlenn Sugameli,** [**Judging the Environment**](http://www.judgingtheenvironment.org/)**]**

**LINKS TO STATEMENTS BY OBAMA & MEMBERS OF HOUSE & SENATE**

[**Statement by the President on the Supreme Court Ruling on Shelby County v. Holder**](http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2013/06/25/statement-president-supreme-court-ruling-shelby-county-v-holder)

[**Attorney General Eric Holder Delivers Remarks on the Supreme Court Decision in Shelby County V. Holder**](http://www.justice.gov/iso/opa/ag/speeches/2013/ag-speech-130625.html)

[**Civil Rights Groups Condemn Supreme Court Ruling in Shelby County v. Holder**](http://restorevotingrights.org/civil-rights-groups-condemn-supreme-court-ruling-in-shelby-county-v-holder/) **[LINKS]**

**MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

[**[House Democratic Leader] Pelosi: Supreme Court Takes a Step Backward on Voting Rights**](http://pelosi.house.gov/news/press-releases/2013/06/pelosi-supreme-court-takes-a-step-backward-on-voting-rights.shtml)

[**[House Majority Leader] Eric Cantor [R-VA] Reacts To Voting Rights Act Ruling**](http://livewire.talkingpointsmemo.com/entry/eric-cantor-reacts-to-voting-rights-act-ruling)

[**U.S. Rep. Sensenbrenner [R-WI]: Bipartisan Judiciary members: Supreme Court acknowledges the work of the Voting Rights Act is not complete**](http://www.wispolitics.com/index.iml?Article=300164) **[also quotes Reps. Chabot [R-OH], Conyers & Watt**

[**GOP Rep. Duffy [R-WI]: 'Congress will act' on new Voting Rights Act**](http://thehill.com/blogs/blog-briefing-room/news/307885-gop-rep-duffy-congress-will-act-on-new-voting-rights-act)

[**Rep. John Lewis [D-GA] Calls Court Decision “a Dagger” in the Heart of Voting Access**](http://johnlewis.house.gov/press-release/rep-john-lewis-calls-court-decision-%E2%80%9C-dagger%E2%80%9D-heart-voting-access)

[**[House Democratic Whip] Hoyer [D-MD] Statement on Supreme Court Ruling on Voting Rights Act**](http://www.democraticwhip.gov/content/hoyer-statement-supreme-court-ruling-voting-rights-act)

[**[Rep.] Conyers [D-MI]: Supreme Court’s Ruling in Shelby County v. Holder Undermines Critical Protections in Voting Rights Act**](http://conyers.house.gov/index.cfm/press-releases?ID=7c18c86c-5e74-49c4-b055-fff9541ca83d)

[**Congressman Watt's [D-NC] Statement on the Supreme Court’s Decision on the Voting Rights Act (Shelby County v. Holder)**](http://watt.house.gov/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=2890:congressman-watts-statement-on-the-supreme-courts-decision-on-the-voting-rights-act-shelby-county-v-holder&catid=41:press-releases&Itemid=2)

[**[House Democratic Assistant Leader] Clyburn [D-SC] Statement On Shelby County v. Holder Decision**](http://clyburn.house.gov/press-release/clyburn-statement-shelby-county-v-holder-decision)

[**HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS CHAIRMAN CONGRESSMAN XAVIER BECERRA [D-CA] ON SUPREME COURT’S AFFIRMATIVE ACTION CASE DECISION**](http://becerra.house.gov/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1096&Itemid=47)

[**Congresswoman Waters' [D-CA] Statement on Supreme Court's Voting Rights Act Decision**](http://waters.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=340540)

[**Congresswoman Hahn [D-CA] Releases Statement on Supreme Court Ruling on Voting Rights Act**](http://hahn.house.gov/press-release/congresswoman-hahn-releases-statement-supreme-court-ruling-voting-rights-act)

[**[House Administration Chairman Candice] Miller [R-MI] on SCOTUS Voting Rights Act Decision**](http://cha.house.gov/press-release/miller-scotus-voting-rights-act-decision)

**SENATORS**

[**[Sen. Majority Leader] Reid [D-NV] Statement on Supreme Court's Voting Rights Decision**](http://www.reid.senate.gov/newsroom/pr062513_reid_statement_on_supreme_courts_voting_rights_decision.cfm)

[**Comment Of Senator Patrick Leahy (D-Vt.), Chairman, Senate Judiciary Committee, On Supreme Court Decision Shelby County v. Holder**](http://www.leahy.senate.gov/press/comment-of-senator-patrick-leahy-d-vt-chairman-senate-judiciary-committee-on-supreme-court-decision-shelby-county-v-holder)

[**[Sen.] Begich [D-AK] Reacts to Supreme Court Decision on Voting Rights Act**](http://www.begich.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/pressreleases?ID=5bfbb1c8-8fa3-4141-a0fc-0251aded2c9c)

[**[Sen.] Feinstein [D\_CA] Statement on Supreme Court’s Voting Rights Act Decision**](http://www.feinstein.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/press-releases?ID=8acfad09-a8fc-4c15-a796-9c90b6a44b7f)

[**[Sen.] Boxer [D-CA] Statement on Supreme Court Voting Rights Act Decision**](http://www.boxer.senate.gov/en/press/releases/062513.cfm)

[**[Sen.] Blumenthal [D-CT] Statement on Supreme Court’s Decision to Invalidate Key Part of Voting Rights Act**](http://www.blumenthal.senate.gov/newsroom/press/release/blumenthal-statement-on-supreme-courts-decision-to-invalidate-key-part-of-voting-rights-act)

[**[SEN.] MURPHY [D-CT] STATEMENT ON SUPREME COURT RULING ON VOTING RIGHTS ACT**](http://www.murphy.senate.gov/record.cfm?id=344174)

[**Statement from Senator Coons [D-DE] on Supreme Court decision on the Voting Rights Act; "The Court has taken the legs out from underneath the Voting Rights Act"**](http://www.coons.senate.gov/newsroom/releases/release/statement-from-senator-coons-on-supreme-court-decision-on-the-voting-rights-act)

[**Senator Carper [D-DE] Disappointed by Supreme Court Voting Rights Act Decision, Urges Congress to Restore Key Protections**](http://www.carper.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/2013/6/senator-carper-disappointed-by-supreme-court-voting-rights-act-decision-urges-congress-to-restore-key-protections)

[**[Sen.] Grassley Comments Regarding the Supreme Court's Ruling on the Voting Rights Act**](http://www.grassley.senate.gov/news/Article.cfm?customel_dataPageID_1502=46372)

[**[SEN.] HIRONO [D-HI] DENOUNCES SUPREME COURT DECISION GUTTING VOTING RIGHTS ACT**](http://www.hirono.senate.gov/record.cfm?id=344176)

[**Sen. Schatz [D-HI] Statement on Supreme Court Decision on Voting Rights Act**](http://www.schatz.senate.gov/record.cfm?id=344170)

[**[SEN.] DURBIN [D-IL] STATEMENT ON THE SUPREME COURT'S DECISION ON THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT**](http://www.durbin.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/pressreleases?ID=4729aee5-cc26-4161-8066-f75f234ded72)

[**[Sen.] Harkin [D-IA] Statement on Supreme Court Ruling Striking Down Voter Protections**](http://www.harkin.senate.gov/press/release.cfm?i=344186)

[**[Sen.] Cardin [D-MD] Responds To Supreme Court Decision Harming Voting Rights**](http://www.cardin.senate.gov/newsroom/press/release/cardin-responds-to-supreme-court-decision-harming-voting-rights)

[**Sen. Franken [D-MN] Calls Supreme Court Ruling on Voting Rights Act a “Significant Setback”**](http://www.franken.senate.gov/?p=press_release&id=2468)

[**[Sen.] Gillibrand Statement on Supreme Court Ruling on Voting Rights Act**](http://www.gillibrand.senate.gov/newsroom/press/release/gillibrand-statement-on-supreme-court-ruling-on-voting-rights-act)

[**[Sen.] Hagan [D-NC] Statement on Supreme Court Decision to Strike Down Key Section of the Voting Rights Act**](http://www.hagan.senate.gov/?p=press_release&id=2202)

[**[Sen.] Merkley [D-OR]: Voting Rights Act Decision Fundamentally Off Base**](http://www.merkley.senate.gov/newsroom/press/release/?id=35EB01DB-41DD-4F06-949C-E2BF38C96A87)

[**[Sen.] Graham [R-SC] on Supreme Court Decision on Voting Rights: "Voting is a fundamental right guaranteed to all United States citizens. I will continue to do everything in my power to ensure elections are free and fair for every South Carolinian."**](http://www.lgraham.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=PressRoom.PressReleases&ContentRecord_id=7d460184-9211-5702-5696-7fab6381f796&Region_id=&Issue_id=)

[**Senator Scott [R-SC] Statement on Supreme Court Decision**](http://www.scott.senate.gov/press-release/senator-scott-statement-supreme-court-decision)

[**Sen. Cruz [R-TX] Applauds Shelby County v. Holder Supreme Court Decision**](http://www.cruz.senate.gov/record.cfm?id=344178)

[**[SEN.] KAINE [D-VA] STATEMENT ON VOTING RIGHTS ACT DECISION BY U.S. SUPREME COURT**](http://www.kaine.senate.gov/press-releases/kaine-statement-on-voting-rights-act-decision-by-us-supreme-court)

[**[Sen. Sanders [I-VT]] Don’t Go Back to Jim Crow**](http://www.sanders.senate.gov/newsroom/news/?id=702ec809-90c0-4ec8-8161-90fecda4ecfc)

[**VOTING RIGHTS: [Sen.] Murray [D-WA] Statement on Supreme Court Ruling**](http://www.murray.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/newsreleases?ID=1fd3688b-11fa-47fc-87e8-51ed07182060)

[**U.S. Senator Tammy Baldwin Statement on Supreme Court's Decision on Voting Rights Act**](http://www.baldwin.senate.gov/press-releases/us-senator-tammy-baldwin-statement-on-supreme-courts-decision-on-voting-rights-act)

**NEARLY 100 EDITORIAL BOARDS, PLUS POLITICAL CARTOONS v. SUPREME COURT VOTING RIGHTS ACT RULING**

[**Cagle.com: Voting Rights**](http://www.cagle.com/news/voting-rights/) **Compilation of Political Cartoons**

[**Voting Rights Act**](http://timesfreepress.com/news/2013/jun/26/voting-rights-act-jim-crow-cartoon-supreme-court/)**, Chattanooga [TN] Times Free Press Cartoon by Clay Bennett**

[**Voting Rights**](http://blog.chron.com/nickanderson/files/2013/06/and062612web.jpg)**, Nick Anderson, The Houston Chronicle's editorial cartoonist**

[**Siers cartoon: Voting Rights**](http://www.charlotteobserver.com/2013/06/25/4129155/siers-cartoon-voting-rights.html)**, Charlotte Observer [NC]**

[**'Daily Show,' Colbert slam Supreme Court's Voting Rights Act ruling**](http://www.latimes.com/entertainment/tv/showtracker/la-et-st-voting-rights-act-supreme-court-daily-show-stephen-colbert-20130626%2C0%2C4357299.story), Los Angeles Times, by Meredith Blake

**National Editorial Boards**

**The New York Times** [**EDITORIAL: An Assault on the Voting Rights Act**](http://www.nytimes.com/2013/06/26/opinion/an-assault-on-the-voting-rights-act.html)**, By THE EDITORIAL BOARD**

**The Washington Post;** [**The Post’s View, The Roberts Court casts aside judicial restraint on Voting Rights Act case, [Print headline: The umpire’s foul call; Justice Roberts and his colleagues cast aside judicial restraint in the Voting Rights Act case]**](http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/the-roberts-court-casts-aside-judicial-restraint-on-voting-rights-act-case/2013/06/25/c4bb645e-dddc-11e2-b197-f248b21f94c4_story.html?wprss=rss_editorials) **By Editorial Board**

**USA Today, The Editorial Board,** [**Voting Rights Act wasn't broken: Our view The law blocked plenty of discriminatory actions from states. Now, what's left of it might be too weak.**](http://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/editorials/2013/06/25/voting-rights-act-supreme-court-editorials-debates/2456173/)

**Los Angeles Times** [**EDITORIAL: A setback for voting rights; The Supreme Court decision striking down a key section of the law has grave implications. y The Times editorial board**](http://www.latimes.com/news/opinion/editorials/la-ed-voting-rights-act-supreme-court-20130626%2C0%2C993158.story)

**Bloomberg View Editorial:** [**The Past, Present and Future of Voting Rights**](http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-06-25/the-past-present-and-future-of-voting-rights.html)**, By the Editors**

**The Christian Science Monitor;** [**The Monitor's View: Supreme Court ruling hardly ends the issue in Voting Rights Act; In the Supreme Court ruling on the Voting Rights Act, the nine justices were at odds over an issue that still divides America: How to deal with old fears of any new types of racism**](http://www.csmonitor.com/Commentary/the-monitors-view/2013/0625/Supreme-Court-ruling-hardly-ends-the-issue-in-Voting-Rights-Act). **By the Monitor's Editorial Board**

**Editorial boards: Alphabetical by State**

**Alabama Media Group & Mobile Register [AL]:** [**Now Congress must fix the Voting Rights Act (Our view)**](http://www.al.com/opinion/index.ssf/2013/06/now_congress_must_fix_the_voti.html) **[editorial written by Mike Marshall, director of statewide commentary, for Alabama Media Group]**

**Anniston Star [AL]** [**Editorial: Voting rights, the Roberts way — Supreme Court weakens key section of the Voting Rights Act**](http://annistonstar.com/view/full_story/22979046/article-Editorials--Voting-rights--the-Roberts-way--%C2%A0Supreme-Court-weakens-key-section-of-the-Voting-Rights-Act?instance=opinion_lead)**, by The Anniston Star Editorial Board**

**Decatur Daily [AL] Editorial** [**Legislature needs to end racial politics**](http://www.decaturdaily.com/stories/Legislature-needs-to-end-racial-politics%2C122768)

**Times Daily [Florence, AL] Editorial:** [**Protecting minority voting rights**](http://www.timesdaily.com/opinion/editorials/article_b9590bd4-df71-11e2-a675-10604b9f6eda.html)

**Anchorage Daily News [AK] Editorial** [**Our View: With or without Voting Rights Act, state's mission is clear**](http://www.adn.com/2013/06/27/2955918_our-view-with-or-without-voting.html)

**The Bakersfield Californian Editorial,** [**Our View: Congress must step in, rewrite voting formula**](http://www.bakersfieldcalifornian.com/opinion/our-view/x607077634/Congress-must-step-in-rewrite-voting-formula)

[**Contra Costa Times [CA] editorial: Use courts, as revising voting act**](http://www.contracostatimes.com/editorial/ci_23536312/contra-costa-times-editorial-congress-has-difficult-task)

**Fresno Bee [CA]** [**EDITORIAL: Voters still need full protection from efforts to suppress ballots**](http://www.fresnobee.com/2013/06/27/3362103/editorial-voters-still-need-full.html)

**Press-Democrat [Santa Rosa, CA],** [**PD Editorial: Is Congress brave enough to fix voting act?**](http://www.petaluma360.com/article/20130625/OPINION/130629682/-1/PT07?Title=PD-Editorial-Is-Congress-brave-enough-to-fix-voting-act-)

[**Mercury News [CA] editorial: Voting Rights Act decision a major blow to American democracy**](http://www.mercurynews.com/opinion/ci_23535656/mercury-news-editorial-voting-rights-act-decision-major)

**Sacramento Bee [CA]** [**Editorial: Voting rights still require full protection of law**](http://www.sacbee.com/2013/06/26/5524276/voting-rights-still-require-full.html#mi_rss=Editorials)

**Times Herald [CA] Editorial:** [**How did Supreme Court feel this would help democracy?**](http://www.timesheraldonline.com/editorial/ci_23541295/how-did-supreme-court-feel-this-would-help)

**San Francisco Chronicle [CA] Editorial:** [**A blow to voting rights**](http://www.sfchronicle.com/opinion/editorials/article/A-blow-to-voting-rights-4622260.php)

**Ventura County Star [CA],** [**Editorial: Now just a symbol**](http://www.vcstar.com/news/2013/jun/25/editorial-now-just-a-symbol/)

**Daily Camera [CO] Editorial:** [**Keep up pressure for voters' rights, Disappointing ruling could be fixed with new formula**](http://www.dailycamera.com/editorials/ci_23539150/keep-up-pressure-voters-rights)**, Erika Stutzman, for the Camera editorial board**

**Reporter-Herald [Loveland, CO]** [**Editorial: Congress, redo Section 4 of the Voting Rights Act now**](http://www.reporterherald.com/opinion/editorial/ci_23556024/editorial-congress-redo-section-4-voting-rights-act)

**The Day [CT]** [**Editorial: 'Egregious' rejection of Voting Rights Act**](http://www.theday.com/article/20130626/OP01/306269978)

**New Haven Register [CT]** [**EDITORIAL: Supreme Court was wrong to roll back voting rights**](http://nhregister.com/articles/2013/06/27/opinion/doc51ccf82dd1902363503137.txt)

**The News Journal [DE]** [**Our View: Supreme Court wrong to gut Voting Rights Act**](http://www.delawareonline.com/article/20130626/OPINION11/306260022/Our-View-Supreme-Court-wrong-gut-Voting-Rights-Act)

**Bradenton Herald [FL] Editorial:** [**Supreme Court ruling exposes nation to voting rights violations**](http://www.bradenton.com/2013/06/27/4584923/supreme-court-ruling-exposes-nation.html)

**Miami Herald [FL] EDITORIAL** [**A defeat for voting rights; OUR OPINION: Court’s decision weakens efforts to ensure rights of all voters**](http://www.miamiherald.com/2013/06/27/3474109/a-defeat-for-voting-rights.html)**, By Miami Herald Editorial**

**Orlando Sentinel [FL] Editorial:** [**What we think: Court defends equality, but not voting rights**](http://www.orlandosentinel.com/news/opinion/os-ed-marriage-voting-rights-20130626%2C0%2C3840320.story)

**Palm Beach Post [FL]** [**Editorial: Florida shows why Supreme Court wrong on Voting Rights Act**](http://www.palmbeachpost.com/news/news/opinion/editorial-florida-shows-why-supreme-court-wrong-on/nYT6G/)**, By Randy Schultz, Editor of the Editorial Page**

**Tampa Bay Times [FL]** [**A Times Editorial; Editorial: Court turns back clock on voting rights**](http://www.tampabay.com/opinion/editorials/editorial-court-turns-back-clock-on-voting-rights/2128550)

**Peoria Journal Star [IL] Editorial** [**Our View: In enlightened U.S., no need for voter rights?**](http://www.pjstar.com/opinions/ourview/x1082040013/Our-View-In-enlightened-U-S-no-need-for-voter-rights#axzz2XXbR24Of) [“Poll taxes and literacy and character tests as barriers to voting … have been replaced by voter identification laws in many states,”]

**The Des Moines Register [IA],** [**The Register's Editorial: Court's ruling is what judicial activism looks like**](http://www.desmoinesregister.com/needlogin?type=login&redirecturl=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.desmoinesregister.com%2Fapps%2Fpbcs.dll%2Farticle%3FAID%3D2013306260038%26nclick_check%3D1)

**Evansville Courier & Press [IN]** [**EDITORIAL: Voting rights act more a statement of principle than law**](http://www.courierpress.com/news/2013/jun/28/voting-rights-act-more-a-statement-of-principle/)

**Indianapolis Star [IN]** [**Editorial | The wrecking crew**](http://www.indystar.com/article/B2/20130626/OPINION01/306260036/Editorial-wrecking-crew)

**The Kansas City Star [KS],** [**EDITORIAL: Backtracking on voting rights in D.C. and Kansas**](http://www.kansascity.com/2013/06/25/4313349/backtracking-on-voting-rights.html)

**The Wichita Eagle [KS]** [**Eagle editorial: Milestone in gay rights**](http://www.kansas.com/2013/06/27/2864928/eagle-editorial-milestone-in-gay.html) [“the U.S. Supreme Court … freed certain states from having the Justice Department approve changes in their voting practices. That might suggest voting discrimination is a thing of the past, yet gerrymandering continues and states (including Kansas) are newly enacting voter-ID and proof-of-citizenship laws that have the effect of limiting voter participation and disproportionately disenfranchising minorities. Because the dysfunctional Congress is unlikely to do anything to shore up the voting rights of minorities, that job will fall to the Justice Department and the courts.”]

**The Courier-Journal [KY],** [**Editorial | The wrecking crew**](http://www.courier-journal.com/article/20130626/OPINION01/306260036/1016/opinion/Editorial-The-wrecking-crew) **[“Also, the U.S. Senate is the outfit Justice Roberts can thank for blocking federal judicial nominees he has said the courts need so desperately.”]**

**Lexington Herald-Leader [KY]** [**Editorial: Put teeth back into voting rights; High court neuters still-needed law**](http://www.kentucky.com/2013/06/26/2692768/put-teeth-back-into-voting-rights.html)

**The Baltimore Sun [MD]:** [**Supreme Court opens the door for discrimination; Our view: Gutting of the Voting Rights Act is judicial activism at its worst**](http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/editorial/bs-ed-voting-rights-act-20130625%2C0%2C4453024.story)

**Berkshire Eagle [MA],** [**An Eagle editorial; Our Opinion: Big win for gay rights**](http://www.berkshireeagle.com/editorials/ci_23544529/big-win-gay-rights) [“Wednesday's rulings do not lessen the sting of Tuesday's 5-4 vote to gut a key provision of the Voting Rights Act, in the process encouraging states like Florida, Pennsylvania, Ohio and Texas with a history of putting obstacles in the way of minority (read, Democratic) voters to employ similar obstructionist tactics in the 2014 elections. That was a civil rights defeat”]

**Boston Globe [MA],** [**EDITORIAL: Voting rights decision is a blow to fair elections**](http://www.bostonglobe.com/opinion/editorials/2013/06/25/voting-rights-decision-blow-fair-elections/A87RfSjAmyG2cpNJNKh3aJ/story.html)

**The Recorder [Greenfield, MA]** [**Editorial: Voting rights**](http://www.recorder.com/home/7185410-95/editorial-voting-rights)

**The Republican [Springfield, MA]** [**Editorial: Court guts voting acts right by leaving it to Congress**](http://www.masslive.com/opinion/index.ssf/2013/06/editorial_court_guts_voting_ac.html)

**Battle Creek Enquirer [MI]** [**Editorial: In Voting Rights Act decision, court dishonors those who died in struggle for the vote**](http://www.battlecreekenquirer.com/article/20130625/OPINION01/306250023/Editorial-Voting-Rights-Act-decision-court-dishonors-those-who-died-struggle-vote)

**Port Huron Times Herald [MI] Editorial:** [**Court errs with Voting Rights Act**](http://www.thetimesherald.com/article/20130626/OPINION/306260019/Court-errs-Voting-Rights-Act)

**Sartell Newsleader [MN]** [**Editorial: Our View COURT’S DECISION A BLOT ON RIGHTS IN THIS NATION**](http://www.thenewsleaders.net/2013/06/27/courts-decision-a-blot-on-rights-in-this-nation/)

**Star Tribune [Minneapolis, MN],** [**EDITORIAL BOARD: Supreme Court rolls back the clock on voting rights**](http://www.startribune.com/opinion/213040001.html)

**Northeast Mississippi Daily Journal [MS],** [**OUR OPINION: Voting Rights Act gains must not be reversed**](http://djournal.com/view/full_story/22984045/article-OUR-OPINION--Voting-Rights-Act-gains-must-not-be-reversed)**, by NEMS Daily Journal**

**The Joplin Globe [MO] Editorial** [**Our View: Congress failing voters**](http://www.joplinglobe.com/editorial/x1763646057/Our-View-Congress-failing-voters/?state=taberU)

**St. Louis Post-Dispatch [MO]** [**Editorial: The Supreme Court's giant leap backward on voting rights**](http://www.stltoday.com/news/opinion/columns/the-platform/editorial-the-supreme-court-s-giant-leap-backward-on-voting/article_c6163a00-d8ac-56b1-b610-787a2b04aee5.html)

**The Keene Sentinel [NH] Editorial** [**The Voting Rights Act ruling overlooks the law's achievments**](http://www.sentinelsource.com/opinion/editorial/the-voting-rights-act-ruling-overlooks-the-law-s-achievments/article_6372a2dd-eec7-545c-831f-6c6f730807b6.html)

**The Record [NJ] Editorial:** [**Voting and race**](http://www.northjersey.com/news/opinions/213087211_The_Record__Voting_and_race.html)

**The Buffalo News [NY] Editorial** [**Supreme Court victory for gay marriage tempered by setback on voting rights**](http://www.buffalonews.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20130628/OPINION/130629198/1074)

**Daily Freeman [NY]** [**EDITORIAL: Supreme Court and voting rights**](http://www.dailyfreeman.com/articles/2013/06/27/opinion/doc51c9f1bfb23f3007759140.txt)

**The Journal News [NY]** [**Editorial: Fighting bias just got harder, Court ruling guts Voting Rights Act**](http://www.lohud.com/article/20130625/OPINION/306250093/Editorial-Fighting-bias-just-got-harder?odyssey=mod%7Cnewswell%7Ctext%7CFrontpage%7Cs)

**Newsday [NY]** [**Editorial: Congress must update oversight of fair voting**](http://www.newsday.com/opinion/editorial-congress-must-update-oversight-of-fair-voting-1.5567679)

**The Charlotte Observer [NC],** [**OUR VIEW: Statistics are outdated; voting vigilance isn’t**](http://www.charlotteobserver.com/2013/06/25/4129000/statistics-are-outdated-voting.html)

**The Daily Courier [Forest City, NC] Editorial:** [**Important to keep elections accessible**](http://www.thedigitalcourier.com/opinion/editorials/x1065838692/Important-to-keep-elections-accessible)**, By Matthew Clark, for the Editorial Board**

**The Daily Reflector [Greenville, NC]** [**Editorial: Ruling threatens progress**](http://www.reflector.com/opinion/editorials/editorial-ruling-threatens-progress-2091803)
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