

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

GAUTAM DUTTA (State Bar No. 199326)  
BUSINESS, ENERGY, AND ELECTION LAW, PC  
1017 El Camino Real # 504  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
Telephone: 415.236.2048  
Email: [Dutta@BEELawFirm.com](mailto:Dutta@BEELawFirm.com)  
Fax: 213.405.2416

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
DONALD BLANKENSHIP and DENISE PURSCHE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONALD BLANKENSHIP and DENISE  
PURSCHE

*Plaintiffs,*

vs.

GAVIN NEWSOM, in only his official  
capacity as Governor of California; and  
ALEX PADILLA, in only his official  
capacity as Secretary of State of California;

*Defendants.*

CASE NO.

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

JUDGE:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. To ensure that Donald Blankenship is not unconstitutionally deprived of his right to appear on the Nov. 3, 2020 Presidential ballot, Mr. Blankenship and Contra Costa County voter Denise Pursche ask the Court to declare unconstitutional and enjoin California’s statutory in-person signature collection requirements.
2. By granting swift relief, the Court will ensure that the current public-health emergency caused by COVID-19 and the Governor’s emergency orders does not unconstitutionally (1) deny ballot access to Presidential candidates, and (2) deprive voters of their right to vote for candidates of their choice.

**THE PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff **Donald Blankenship** was nominated by the Constitution Party at its May 2, 2020 virtual Convention as its candidate for the United States President.
4. Plaintiff **Denise Pursche**, a resident and registered voter in Contra Costa County, seeks to vote for Mr. Blankenship in the 2020 presidential election.
5. Defendant **Gavin Newsom** is the Governor of California. Defendant Newsom has authority over the enforcement of the California Elections Code during a state of emergency. Defendant Newsom’s official address is 1303 Tenth Street, Suite 1173, Sacramento, CA 95814.
6. Defendant **Alex Padilla** is the Secretary of State of California. Pursuant to Elections Code §12172.5, Secretary Padilla is the State’s chief elections official and has ultimate authority over the enforcement of the California Elections Code, including the provisions challenged in this lawsuit. Defendant Padilla’s official address is 1500 Eleventh Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This Court has federal-question jurisdiction in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, 42 U.S.C. §1983, and 42 U.S.C. §1988. Defendants are state officials who maintain offices throughout the State of California. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, for they are California public officials who are being sued in their official capacities.
8. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391 because Plaintiff Denise Pursche lives and votes in Contra Costa County.

**GENERAL BACKGROUND**

9. On October 31, 2019, Mr. Blankenship filed his Statement of Candidacy with the Federal Election Commission (“FEC”).
10. On May 2, 2020, Mr. Blankenship was named the Constitution Party’s nominee for the President of the United States.

- 1 11. In California, nomination papers for an independent nominee for President of the  
2 United States must be circulated for signatures between April 24, 2020 and August  
3 7, 2020, pursuant to Cal. Elections Code §8403.
- 4 12. Pursuant to Cal. Elections Code §8400, the nomination papers must be signed by  
5 at least **196,964** registered voters in California (equivalent to 1% of the statewide  
6 registration from the last Report of Registration prior to the Nov. 2018 General  
7 Election).
- 8 13. In Dec. 2019, an outbreak of respiratory disease caused by a novel coronavirus  
9 emerged in China. The respiratory disease caused by the novel coronavirus, now  
10 known as “COVID-19”, is an infectious disease that can spread from person to  
11 person and can result in serious illness and death.
- 12 14. On January 30, 2020, after the coronavirus outbreak had spread well beyond China,  
13 the World Health Organization declared that COVID-19 constitutes a Public  
14 Health Emergency of International Concern.
- 15 15. On January 31, 2020, as a result of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the United  
16 States, Health and Human Services Secretary Alex M. Azar II declared a  
17 nationwide public health emergency retroactive to January 27, 2020.
- 18 16. On February 27, 2020, the Centers for Disease Control issued guidance  
19 recommending, among other things, that members of the public practice “social  
20 distancing” and minimize close contact with others in order to slow the spread of  
21 COVID-19.
- 22 17. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 to be a  
23 global pandemic.
- 24 18. On Mar. 19, 2020, in response to the unprecedented COVID-19 pandemic,  
25 Defendant Newsom issued Executive Order N-33-20 (the “Stay-at-Home Order”),  
26 which directed all Californians to stay home except those who held essential jobs  
27 (“Essential Workers”) or to shop for essential needs.
- 28



1 contact with voters across California. It involves on going to places where the  
2 public congregates. Many businesses remain closed and all large, and public mass  
3 gatherings are still prohibited. Additionally, because of public-health concerns,  
4 voters at large are reluctant not only to attend public gatherings, but to speak with  
5 signature gatherers.

6 28. Furthermore, collective signatures for a candidate requires coming into close  
7 contact with individual voters, generally *closer than six feet*, to hand them a copy  
8 of information about a candidate to review, answer questions, instruct the voter  
9 where to sign, and properly witness the voter signature.

10 29. Under these extraordinary measures and unprecedented circumstances, there is  
11 absolutely no compelling or legitimate state interest to enforce the filing  
12 deadline or maintain the required number of elector signatures, and to do so is  
13 unconstitutional.

14 30. Enforcing the filing deadlines without reducing the required number of voter  
15 signatures under these unprecedented circumstances is unconstitutional as  
16 applied, for it imposes requirements that Mr. Blankenship cannot meet in the  
17 wake of the COVID-19 pandemic.

18 31. This effectively prevents Mr. Blankenship, through no fault of his own, from  
19 getting his name on California's Nov. 3, 2020 Presidential ballot.

20 32. Mr. Blankenship and his supporters are poised to suffer irreparable harm if  
21 they are deprived of their constitutional right to vote for the candidate of their  
22 choice if Mr. Blankenship's name is not permitted to be on the ballot.

23 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

24 *As-Applied Violation of the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. §1983*

25 (Amendments I & XIV)

26 Alleged by Mr. Blankenship against All Defendants

27 33. The foregoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference.

28 34. The combination of the unprecedented COVID-19 pandemic, Defendant

1 Newsom’s Stay-at-Home Order, and Defendant Padilla’s application and  
2 enforcement of Cal. Elections Code §8403 is unconstitutional, as applied, to  
3 Presidential candidate Donald Blankenship.

4 35. Defendants’ actions effectively prohibit Mr. Blankenship from getting the required  
5 number of signatures, and in turn, prevent him from having his name placed on the  
6 Nov. 3, 2020 Presidential Election ballot. In this manner, Defendants are poised to  
7 violate Mr. Blankenship’s freedom of speech and association, equal protection,  
8 and due process rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments, as  
9 enforced by 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

10 36. Defendants’ enforcement of the statutory requirements in conjunction with the  
11 Stay-at-home Order make it virtually impossible for Blankenship and other  
12 similarly situated candidates to get their names on California’s Nov. 3, 2020  
13 Presidential ballot. Under the circumstances, those requirements are burdensome,  
14 unreasonable, and not narrowly tailored to meet any compelling or legitimate state  
15 interest.

16 37. A real and actual controversy exists between the Parties.

17 38. Mr. Blankenship has no adequate remedy at law other than this action for  
18 declaratory and injunctive relief.

19 39. Mr. Blankenship is suffering irreparable harm as a result of the violations  
20 complained of herein, and that harm will continue unless those violations are  
21 declared unlawful and enjoined by this Court.

22 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

23 *As-Applied Violation of the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. §1983*

24 (Amendments I & XIV)

25 Alleged by Ms. Pursche against All Defendants

26 40. The foregoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference.

27 41. The unconstitutional exclusion of Mr. Blankenship’s candidacy through the  
28 unconstitutional enforcement of the filing deadline and signature requirements

1 deprives Denise Pursche of an effective choice at the ballot, is wholly  
2 unreasonable, and fails to meet any compelling or legitimate state interest.

3 42. A real and actual controversy exists between the Parties.

4 43. Ms. Pursche has no adequate remedy at law other than this action for declaratory  
5 and injunctive relief.

6 44. Ms. Pursche will suffer irreparable harm if she is unconstitutionally deprived of  
7 the right to vote for the Presidential candidate of her choice.

8 45. Defendants' enforcement of the filing deadline and signature requirements  
9 deprives Ms. Pursche and other similarly situated voters of her constitutional right  
10 to vote for the Presidential candidate of her choice.

11 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

12 Plaintiffs Donald Blankenship and Denise Pursche ask that the Court to grant the  
13 following relief:

14 I. Enter judgment declaring that California's statutory ballot-access requirements are  
15 unconstitutional, as applied to independent Presidential nominee Donald  
16 Blankenship.

17 II. Issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent  
18 injunction prohibiting enforcement of California's filing deadline and signature  
19 requirements for Presidential candidates for California's November 3, 2020  
20 general election, as well as any substitute requirements that Defendants may  
21 subsequently adopt or promote that violate Plaintiffs' constitutional rights.

22 III. Issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent  
23 injunction prohibiting Defendants from printing the Nov. 3, 2020 Presidential  
24 ballot unless Defendants extend the statutory filing deadline and decrease the  
25 signature requirement to an achievable number in light of the COVID-19 public-  
26 health emergency.

27 IV. Awarding all reasonable attorney's fees and costs to Plaintiffs.

28 V. All other relief that the Court deems just and equitable.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: July 7, 2020

BUSINESS, ENERGY, AND ELECTION  
LAW, PC

By: /s/ Gautam Dutta

GAUTAM DUTTA, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
DONALD BLANKENSHIP and DENISE  
PURSCHE