

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

H. ROBIN SAMUELSEN Jr., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MEAD TREADWELL, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 3:12-cv-00118-RRB-AK-JKS

ORDER

Before: **KOZINSKI**, Chief Circuit Judge, **BEISTLINE**, Chief District Judge,
and **SINGLETON**, District Judge.

Oral argument at the hearing scheduled for June 28, 2012, should focus on the following questions:

1. What is the appropriate scope of any preliminary injunction the court might issue?
2. May the court enjoin parties not before the court, such as the candidates in the upcoming primary election?

3. What is the harm likely to be suffered by the defendants if the court issues an injunction, by the plaintiffs if the court does not issue an injunction, and by other parties—for example, electoral candidates—in either case?

4. Would it be appropriate for the court and the parties to begin drafting an interim redistricting plan, as described in Perry v. Perez, 132 S. Ct. 934 (2012), in preparation for the possibility that the Department of Justice will not preclear the Amended Proclamation Plan?

The court will not consider constitutional objections to the Voting Rights Act at this time because the United States Attorney General has not yet had an opportunity to intervene.

The parties will initially be allocated 30 minutes each, though extensions will be granted as necessary. The court does not plan to take evidence unless it becomes apparent at the hearing that the record needs to be supplemented to make a reasoned order.

June 26, 2012